

|                               |                      |            |                      |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|
| STUDENT NAME:                 | <input type="text"/> | SU NUMBER: | <input type="text"/> |
| DEPARTMENT:                   | <input type="text"/> |            |                      |
| PROGRAMME:                    | <input type="text"/> |            |                      |
| RESEARCH<br>ASSIGNMENT TITLE: | <input type="text"/> |            |                      |

#### **MODULE COORDINATOR RECOMMENDATION**

After due process, and based on the marks awarded by the examiners, the Module Coordinator awards the following mark:

#### **Recommendation:**

#### **EXTERNAL MODERATOR DECISION**

After reviewing the marks awarded by all the examiners, the External Moderator agrees with the mark awarded by the Module Coordinator (no motivation required).

☐

**OR:** After reviewing the marks awarded by all the examiners, and assessing the Research Assignment, the External Moderator awards the following mark (motivation required):

#### **Motivation:**

#### **In cases where:**

1. the marks for the study leader and internal examiner differ by more than 10%, OR
  2. one of the examiners awarded a mark  $\geq 50\%$  and the other a mark  $< 50\%$ , OR
  3. one of the examiners awarded a mark  $\geq 75\%$  and the other a mark  $< 75\%$ ,
- the moderator may suggest an alternative final mark that falls in between the marks allocated by the study leader and the examiner. If none of these cases (1 to 3) are met, the average mark of the examiners stands.

**SIGNATURES**

**MODULE  
COORDINATOR:**

DATE:

SIGNATURE:

**EXTERNAL  
MODERATOR:**

DATE:

SIGNATURE:

